	Case 5:05-cv-01673-RS Document 389	Filed 11/13/08 Page 1 of 3	
1			
2			
3		E-filed on: <u>11/13/08</u>	
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10	SAN JOSE DIVISION		
11			
12			
13	TELE ATLAS N.V. and TELE ATLAS NORTH AMERICA,	No. C-05-01673 RMW (RS)	
14	Plaintiffs,	ORDER GRANTING NAVTEQ'S MOTION IN LIMINE TO EXCLUDE EVIDENCE	
15	V.	REGARDING THE ELECTRONIC HORIZON PATENT	
16	NAVTEQ CORPORATION,	[Re Docket No. 290]	
17	Defendant.		
18			
19	Tele Atlas and NAVTEQ create and supply digital map data. Tele Atlas has accused NAVTEQ of, among other things, monopolizing markets for digital map data. Trial on these claims		
20			
21	is scheduled for December 2, 2008.		
22 23	Tele Atlas alleged that NAVTEQ illegally tied the licensing of U.S. Patent No. 6,735,515		
	(the "electronic horizon patent") to its licensing of digital map data. See Third Amended Complaint,		
Docket No. 53 ¶¶ 71-75 (Mar. 29, 2007). The court granted partial summary judgment w			
26	to Tele Atlas's section 1 (and state analogue) tying claims based on this alleged tying because the		
27	undisputed evidence showed that NAVTEQ had never licensed the electronic horizon patent to		
28	anyone. Docket No. 341 at 22 & fn.13 (Oct. 28, 2008).		
_0	ORDER GRANTING NAVTEQ'S MOTION IN LIMINE TO EXC PATENT No. C-05-01673 RMW (RS) TSF	LUDE EVIDENCE REGARDING THE ELECTRONIC HORIZON	
I	ıl		

Case 5:05-cv-01673-RS Document 389 Filed 11/13/08 Page 2 of 3

1	NAVTEQ now moves to exclude any testimony regarding the electronic horizon patent as		
2	irrelevant. Tele Atlas disagrees, stating that "it is clear that evidence regarding the electronic		
3	horizon patent is relevant to each of Tele Atlas' federal and state antitrust claims and is this		
4	admissible." Tele Atlas fails to explain the "clear" relevance of any evidence regarding the		
5	electronic horizon patent. Though the threshold for relevance is low, it is more than nothing. See		
6	Fed. R. Evid. 402. Nevertheless, at the hearing on the motion, Tele Atlas agreed that it would not		
7	offer evidence related to the electronic horizon patent. Accordingly, the court grants NAVTEQ's		
8	motion to exclude evidence regarding the electronic horizon patent.		
9	~ 1101		
10	DATED: 11/13/08		
11	RICHARD SEEBORG		
12	United States Magistrate Judge		
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28	ODDED OD ANTING NAVTEOR MOTION BUT DING TO EVOLUDE EVIDENCE DEGARDING THE ELECTRONIC HODIZON		
	ORDER GRANTING NAVTEQ'S MOTION IN LIMINE TO EXCLUDE EVIDENCE REGARDING THE ELECTRONIC HORIZON		

ORDER GRANTING NAVTEQ'S MOTION IN LIMINE TO EXCLUDE EVIDENCE REGARDING THE ELECTRONIC HORIZON PATENT

Case 5:05-cv-01673-RS Document 389 Filed 11/13/08 Page 3 of 3 1 Notice of this document has been electronically sent to: **Counsel for Tele Atlas:** Melissa J Baily melissabaily@quinnemanuel.com davideiseman@quinnemanuel.com 3 David Eiseman Robert P. Feldman rfeldman@wsgr.com kristinmadigan@quinnemanuel.com williammorehead@quinnemanuel.com 4 Kristin Janet Madigan William Morehead 5 Emily Christina O'Brien emilyobrien@quinnemanuel.com 6 **Counsel for NAVTEQ:** David S. Bloch dbloch@winston.com 7 **Andrew Bridges** abridges@winston.com David Koropp dkoropp@winston.com 8 George Lombardi glombardi@winston.com Kevin Joon Oh koh@winston.com 9 Megan Elizabeth Schaefer mschaefer@winston.com Dan Webb dwebb@winston.com 10 11 Counsel are responsible for distributing copies of this document to co-counsel that have not registered for e-filing under the court's CM/ECF program. 12 13 **Dated:** 11/13/08 /s/ BAK **Chambers of Judge Seeborg** 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 ORDER GRANTING NAVTEQ'S MOTION IN LIMINE TO EXCLUDE EVIDENCE REGARDING THE ELECTRONIC HORIZON No. C-05-01673 RMW (RS) 3 **TSF**